

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

h

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/629,065	07/2	28/2003	James R. Cole	200208981-1	200208981-1 5842		
22879	7590	11/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		D COMPANY	SEVER, ANDREW T				
	•	E. HARMONY RO ERTY ADMINIS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FORT COLI	LINS, CO 8	80527-2400	2851				
				DATE MAII ED: 11/19/200	e		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/629,065	COLE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Andrew T. Sever	2851	

	Andrew I. Sever	2851						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, 3							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	·	_					
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-9,11-16 and 29-32. Claim(s) objected to: 18. Claim(s) rejected: 17 and 19-28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 		ill be entered and an e	explanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hafara ar an the data of filing a N	lating of Annual will be	ot ha autorad					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.					
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)						
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	großerky v	Villam Darter						
	Pri	mary Examiner						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument's with regards to claims 18, 29, and 30 are persuasive and they are not indicated as either allowable or objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but allowable if re-written in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims as appropriate. However as stated in the interview summary of 11/2/2005, claim 17 does not claim the allowable function of the other independent claims and accordingly as currently written claim 17 would remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by Takizawa et al. (US 6,702,444). The interview summary proposed that an examiner's amendment would be used to correct this deficiency if only claims 17-28 remained rejected, however on further review such an amendment would require significant changes to claims 17-19 and therefore no examiner's amendment will be made and applicant is invited to appropriately amend claims 17-19 in order to make them allowable, by writing the potentially allowable subject mater of claim 18 into claim 17. (claims 20-28 are dependent on claim 17 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons that cliam 17 is.)

Continuation of 13. Other: Claim 19 does not make complete grammatical/antecedent sense and should be re-written to conform to claim 17's language. .